

Comatrix Coring generalized and Equivalences of Categories of Comodules

Mohssin Zarouali-Darkaoui

Departamento de Álgebra

Facultad de Ciencias

Universidad de Granada

E18071 Granada, Spain

E-mail: zaroual@correo.ugr.es

Introduction

Corings were introduced by Sweedler in [28]. A coring over an associative algebra with unit over a commutative ring k , A , is an A -bimodule $\mathfrak{C}$ with two A -bimodule maps $\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$ (comultiplication or coproduct) and $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow A$ (counit) such that the same diagrams as for coalgebras are commutative. Recently, corings were intensively studied. The main motivation of this studies is the observation of Takeuchi, [8, 32.6], that relates entwining structures (resp. entwined modules) with corings (resp. comodules over corings). For a detailed study of corings, we refer to [8].

Comatrix corings were introduced for the first time in [12]. In [6], the authors have given another definition and some properties of them.

In this paper we extend comatrix coring to the case of quasi-finite comodules, and also we extend some of its interesting properties given in [6]. Suppose that ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ and ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ are flat. Let $X \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and suppose that $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ is a left adjoint to $-\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda$. If $\mathfrak{C}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat and ${}_{\mathfrak{C}}X, {}_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda$ are coflat, or A and B are von Neumann, or if $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable, then the B -bimodule $\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ is endowed by a structure of B -coring (Theorem 2.1). The coproduct is

$$\Delta : \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X) \xrightarrow{\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\psi\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X)} \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}((X\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda)\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X)\square_{\mathfrak{D}}(\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$(\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X) \otimes_B (\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X),$$

and the counit is $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ \omega : \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X \longrightarrow B$.

The most important property of this coring is the following. Let $\Lambda \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ be a bicomodule, quasi-finite as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule, such that ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ and ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ are flat. Set $X = h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. If

- (a) $\mathfrak{C}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat, the cohom functor $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ is exact and $\mathfrak{D}\Lambda$ is coflat, or
- (b) A and B are von Neumann regular rings and the cohom functor $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ is exact, or
- (c) $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable corings,

then the canonical isomorphism $\delta_{\Lambda} : e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X$ is an isomorphism of B -corings (see Proposition 2.4). We think that, under these conditions, this coring isomorphism give a concrete description of the coendomorphism coring even in the case of coalgebras over fields. Indeed, let C be a coalgebra over a field k , and let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{M}^C$ be a quasi-finite and injective comodule. Then $e_C(\Lambda) \simeq \Lambda \square_C h_C(\Lambda, C)$ as coalgebras (the case (b)). We also think that the last isomorphism give a more concrete characterization of equivalence between categories of comodules over coalgebras over a field, than that of Takeuchi [29]. of course, this characterization of the coendomorphism coring is also useful in the characterization of equivalence between categories of comodules over corings. Indeed, in Section 3, we study equivalences between categories of comodules over rather general corings. We generalize and improve (using results we give in [30]) the main results concerning equivalences between categories of comodules given in [29], [1] and [8]. We also give new characterizations of equivalences between categories of comodules over coseparable corings or corings [30] with a duality. We apply our results to the particular case of the adjoint pair of functors associated to a morphism of corings over different base rings [14]. Finally, when applied to corings associated to entwining structures, and that associated to a G -graded algebra and a right G -set, we obtain new results concerning entwined modules and graded modules. We think that our result, Theorem 5.4, is more simple than that Del Río [24, Theorem 2.3].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we give some useful definitions and notations. In Section 2, we generalize comatrix corings introduced in [12] and [6], and we generalize also some properties given in [6]. Section 3 is devoted to the study of equivalences of comodule categories over corings. Our results given in [30] and Section 2, will allow us to generalize and improve the main results in both [1] and [8] (see Propositions 3.1, 3.4, Theorems 3.6, 3.7), and also to give new results concerning equivalences of comodule categories over coseparable corings (see Propositions 3.1, 3.4, Theorems 3.6, 3.8) and over corings over QF rings (Theorem 3.14). Obviously our last theorem generalizes [29, Theorem 3.5] and [1, Corollary 7.6]. In Section 4, we deals with the application of some of our results giving in Section 3 to the induction functor. In Section 5, we apply some of our results giving in the previous sections to the corings associated to entwining structures, and in particular those associated to a G -graded algebra and a right G -set, where G is a group.

1 Preliminaries and basic notations

Throughout this paper and unless otherwise stated, k denote a commutative ring (with unit), A , A' , A'' , and B denote associative and unitary algebras over k , and $\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{C}'$, $\mathfrak{C}''$, and $\mathfrak{D}$ denote corings over A , A' , A'' , and B , respectively.

A category $\mathbf{C}$ is said to be *k-category* (called *k-linear category* in [25, I.0.1.2]) if for every M and N in $\mathbf{C}$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(M, N)$ is a k -module, and the composition is k -bilinear. An abelian category which is a k -category is said to be *k-abelian category*. A functor between k -categories is said to be *k-functor* or *k-linear functor* if it is k -linear on the k -modules of morphisms. A functor between k -categories is said to be a *k-equivalence* if it is k -linear and an equivalence.

We recall from [28] that an *A-coring* consists of an A -bimodule $\mathfrak{C}$ with two A -bimodule maps

$$\Delta : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}, \quad \epsilon : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow A$$

such that $(\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ \Delta$ and $(\epsilon \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ \Delta = (\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \epsilon) \circ \Delta = 1_{\mathfrak{C}}$. A *right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule* is a pair (M, ρ_M) consisting of a right A -module M and an A -linear map $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$ (coaction) satisfying $(M \otimes_A \Delta) \circ \rho_M = (\rho_M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ \rho_M$, and $(M \otimes_A \epsilon) \circ \rho_M = 1_M$. A *morphism* of right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodules (M, ρ_M) and (N, ρ_N) is a right A -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that $(f \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ \rho_M = \rho_N \circ f$. The k -module of all such morphisms will be denoted by $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M, N)$. Right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodules together with their morphisms form the k -category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. Coproducts and cokernels (and then inductive limits) in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ exist and they coincide respectively with coproducts and cokernels in the category of right A -modules $\mathcal{M}_A$. If ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is flat, then it is easy to show that the subcomodules of $\mathfrak{C}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, form a family of generators. Hence, if ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is flat, then the category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a Grothendieck category. The converse is not true in general (see [13, Example 1.1]). When $\mathfrak{C} = A$, with the trivial A -coring structure, $\mathcal{M}^A$ is the category of right A -modules $\mathcal{M}_A$.

Now assume that the A' – A -bimodule M is also a left comodule over an A' -coring $\mathfrak{C}'$ with structure map $\lambda_M : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} M$. Assume moreover that ρ_M is A' -linear, and λ_M is A -linear. It is clear that $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$ is a morphism of left $\mathfrak{C}'$ -comodules if and only if $\lambda_M : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} M$ is a morphism of right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodules. In this case, we say that M is a $\mathfrak{C}'$ – $\mathfrak{C}$ -bicomodule. A morphism of bicomodules is a morphism of right and left comodules. Then we obtain a k -category ${}^{\mathfrak{C}'}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. If in particular $\mathfrak{C}' = A'$, $\mathfrak{C} = A$, then ${}^{\mathfrak{C}'}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is the category of A' – A -bimodules ${}_{A'}\mathcal{M}_A$.

A coring $\mathfrak{C}$ is said to be *coseparable* [15] if the comultiplication map $\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a section in the category ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. Obviously the trivial A -coring $\mathfrak{C} = A$ is coseparable. We refer to [8] for the definition and basic properties of the notions: coendomorphism coring, cosplit coring, and Frobenius coring.

Let Z be a left A -module and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism in $\mathcal{M}_A$. Following [8, 40.13] we say that f is *Z-pure* when the functor $- \otimes_A Z$ preserves the kernel of f . If f is Z -pure for every $Z \in {}_A\mathcal{M}$ then we say simply that f is *pure* in $\mathcal{M}_A$.

A bicomodule $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is said to be *quasi-finite* as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule if the functor $- \otimes_A N : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ has a left adjoint $\text{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$, and we call it the *cohom functor*. If $\omega_{Y,N} := \rho_Y \otimes_A N - Y \otimes_A \lambda_N$ is $\mathfrak{D} \otimes_B \mathfrak{D}$ -pure for every right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule Y (e.g., ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ is flat or $\mathfrak{C}$ is coseparable) then $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is quasi-finite if and only if $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}} N : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ has a left adjoint, which is also denoted by $\text{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -)$ [14, Proposition 4.2]. In particular ($\mathfrak{D} = B$), a $\mathfrak{C}$ – B -bimodule N is quasi-finite as a right B -(co)module if and only if ${}_A N$ is finitely generated and projective. The cohom functor is $- \otimes_B {}^*N : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$, where

$*N = \text{Hom}_A(N, A)$.

Recall from [2, Exercise 19.19], that a module ${}_A W$ is said to be *completely faithful* if

$$\text{Ann}_M(W) := \{m \in M \mid m \otimes_A w = 0 \text{ in } M \otimes_A W \text{ for all } w \in W\} = 0,$$

for every right A -module M . It follows from [20, Proposition II.7.2], that a module ${}_A W$ is completely faithful if and only if the functor $- \otimes_A W$ is faithful.

Finally, the notation $\otimes$ will stand for the tensor product over k .

2 Comatrix coring generalized

In this Section we generalize the concept of comatrix coring defined in [6] and [12] to the case of a quasi-finite comodule, and generalize some of its properties.

At first we will recall the definition of the *cotensor product* of comodules. Let $M \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}'}$. The map

$$\omega_{M,N} = \rho_M \otimes_A N - M \otimes_A \lambda_N : M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A N$$

is a $\mathfrak{C}' - \mathfrak{C}''$ -bicomodule map. Its kernel in ${}_A \mathcal{M}_{A'}$ is the *cotensor product* of M and N , and it is denoted by $M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} N$. If $\omega_{M,N}$ is $\mathfrak{C}'_{A'}$ -pure and ${}_{A''}\mathfrak{C}''$ -pure, and the following

$$\ker(\omega_{M,N}) \otimes_{A''} \mathfrak{C}'' \otimes_{A''} \mathfrak{C}'', \quad \mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} \mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} \ker(\omega_{M,N}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} \ker(\omega_{M,N}) \otimes_{A''} \mathfrak{C}'' \quad (1)$$

are injective maps, then $M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} N$ is the kernel of $\omega_{M,N}$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}''}$. This is the case if $\omega_{M,N}$ is $(\mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} \mathfrak{C}')_{A'}$ -pure, ${}_{A''}(\mathfrak{C}'' \otimes_{A''} \mathfrak{C}'')$ -pure, and $\mathfrak{C}' \otimes_{A'} \omega_{M,N}$ is ${}_{A''}\mathfrak{C}''$ -pure (e.g. if $\mathfrak{C}'_{A'}$ and ${}_{A''}\mathfrak{C}''$ are flat, or if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a coseparable A -coring).

If for every $M \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}'}$, $\omega_{M,N}$ is $\mathfrak{C}'_{A'}$ -pure and ${}_{A''}\mathfrak{C}''$ -pure, then we have a k -linear bifunctor

$$-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}- : {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \times {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}'} \longrightarrow {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}'} . \quad (2)$$

If in particular $\mathfrak{C}'_{A'}$ and ${}_{A''}\mathfrak{C}''$ are flat, or if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a coseparable A -coring, then the bifunctor (2) is well defined. In the special case of $\mathfrak{C} = A$, we have $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}- = - \otimes_A -$.

The conjunction of [30, Proposition 2.7] and the following result generalizes [6, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $X \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. Assume that at least one of the following conditions holds*

- (1) (a) ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$, ${}_B \mathfrak{D}$, $\mathfrak{C}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat,
(b) ${}_{\mathfrak{C}} X$ and ${}_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda$ are coflat; or
- (2) A and B are von Neumann regular rings; or
- (3) ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$, ${}_B \mathfrak{D}$ are flat, and $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable corings.

If there exist bilinear maps

$$\psi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \text{ and } \omega : \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$$

in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{D}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ respectively, such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} & & X & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi & & \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \\ \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda & \xleftarrow{\omega \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda} & \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda & \xleftarrow{X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \omega} & X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{D} & \xleftarrow{X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \omega} & X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \end{array} \quad (3)$$

commute, then $\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X$ is a B -coring with coproduct

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \xrightarrow{\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (\psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X)} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} ((X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \\ &\quad \downarrow \\ &\quad (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \otimes_B (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X), \end{aligned}$$

and counit $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ \omega : \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \longrightarrow B$.

Proof. By the bilinearity of ψ , we have the commutativity of the two diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}} & \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}} & (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \\ \psi \downarrow & & & \searrow (\psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi) \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} & \downarrow (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi \\ (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) & & & & (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & & & \uparrow (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi \\ (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{(X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi} & (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) & & \end{array} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}} & \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi} & \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \\ \psi \downarrow & & & \searrow (\psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi) \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}} & \downarrow \psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \\ (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) & & & & (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & & & \uparrow \psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \\ \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda)} & (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) & & \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Therefore, we have the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X & \xrightarrow{\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X} & (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \\
\downarrow \simeq & & & & \downarrow \simeq \\
\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X & & & & (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \\
\downarrow \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X & & & & \downarrow (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \\
(\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) & & & & (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \\
\searrow \simeq & & \nearrow (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) & & \\
& (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) & & &
\end{array}$$

Hence the coassociative property of Δ follows. On the other hand, if we put $i : (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \hookrightarrow (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \otimes_B (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X)$ the canonical injection, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ \omega \otimes_B (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X))] \circ i \circ (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \circ [\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X] \\
& = [\epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_B (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X)] \circ i' \circ (\omega \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X)) \circ (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \circ [\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X], \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

where $i' : \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D} \otimes_B (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X)$ is the canonical injection.

The first diagram of (3) is commutative means that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi} & \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\omega \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda} & \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \\
& \searrow \simeq & & \nearrow \simeq & \\
& \Lambda & & &
\end{array}$$

commutes. The composition of morphisms

$$\Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{D} \otimes_B \Lambda \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_B \Lambda} B \otimes_B \Lambda$$

is exactly the morphism $[\lambda \mapsto 1_B \otimes_B \lambda]$. Then,

$$(6) = \left[\sum_i \lambda_i \otimes_A x_i \mapsto 1_B \otimes_B \left(\sum_i \lambda_i \otimes_A x_i \right) \right].$$

Analogously, by using the commutativity of the second diagram of (3), we complete the proof of the counit property. $\square$

Let $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ be a bicomodule, quasi-finite as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule, such that ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ is flat or $\mathfrak{C}$ is a coseparable A -coring. Let T be a k -algebra.

We will give an other characterization of the natural isomorphism (for its definition we refer to [30]):

$$\Upsilon_{-, -} : - \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) \rightarrow \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, - \otimes_T -)$$

associated to the cohom functor $\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let $\theta : 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}} \rightarrow \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) \otimes_A N$ be the unit of the adjunction $(\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -), - \otimes_A N)$.

Let $M \in {}^T\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $W \in \mathcal{M}^T$. Since the functors $\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^A$ and $- \otimes_A N : \mathcal{M}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ are k -linear and preserve inductive limits, then the functor $\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) \otimes_A N : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is also k -linear and preserves inductive limits. By [14, Lemma 3.2(1)], θ_M is a morphism in ${}^T\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$. Define

$$\Xi_{W,M} : \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, W \otimes_T M) \rightarrow W \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M)$$

to be the unique morphism in $\mathcal{M}^A$ satisfying $(\Xi_{W,M} \otimes_A N)\theta_{W \otimes_T M} = W \otimes_T \theta_M$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\rho_{W \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M)} \otimes_A N - (W \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M)) \otimes_A \lambda_N \right] (W \otimes_T \theta_M) \\ &= W \otimes_T \left[(\rho_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M)} \otimes_A N - \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M) \otimes_A \lambda_N) \theta_M \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Then $\text{Im}(W \otimes_T \theta_M) \subset (W \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M)) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} N$, and by the proof of [14, Proposition 4.2(1)], $\Xi_{W,M}$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. Now we will verify that $\Xi_{-, M}$ is a natural transformation. For this, let $f : W \rightarrow W'$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}^T$. We will verify the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, W \otimes_T M) & \xrightarrow{\Xi_{W,M}} & W \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, W' \otimes_T M) & \xrightarrow{\Xi_{W',M}} & W' \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M). \end{array}$$

Since θ is a natural transformation, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \otimes_T M & \xrightarrow{\theta_{W \otimes_T M}} & \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, W \otimes_T M) \otimes_A N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W' \otimes_T M & \xrightarrow{\theta_{W' \otimes_T M}} & \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, W' \otimes_T M) \otimes_A N \end{array}$$

commutes. By a straightforward computations we have

$$\left[(\Xi_{W',M} \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, f \otimes_T M)) \otimes_A N \right] \theta_{W \otimes_T M} = \left[((f \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M)) \Xi_{W,M}) \otimes_A N \right] \theta_{W \otimes_T M}$$

By uniqueness, $\Xi_{W',M} \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, f \otimes_T M) = (f \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M)) \Xi_{W,M}$. Finally, we will verify that $\Upsilon_{T,M} = \Xi_{T,M}^{-1}$, i.e. $\Xi_{T,M}$ satisfies the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, T \otimes_T M) & \xrightarrow{\Xi_{T,M}} & T \otimes_T \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M) \\ & \searrow \simeq & \swarrow \simeq \\ & \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M) & \end{array}$$

(the canonical isomorphism $T \otimes_T M \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$). Since θ is a natural transformation, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T \otimes_T M & \xrightarrow{\theta_{T \otimes_T M}} & h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, T \otimes_T M) \otimes_A N \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ M & \xrightarrow{\theta_M} & h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M) \otimes_A N \end{array}$$

commutes. It follows from the commutativity of the last diagram and the fact that θ_M is T -linear, that the following diagram is also commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T \otimes_T M & \xrightarrow{\theta_{T \otimes_T M}} & h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, T \otimes_T M) \otimes_A N \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ T \otimes_T h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M) \otimes_A N & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M) \otimes_A N. \end{array}$$

By [23, Corollary 3.6.6], $\Xi_{W,M}$ is an isomorphism, and by a theorem of Mitchell ([23, Theorem 3.6.5]), $\Upsilon_{W,M} = \Xi_{W,M}^{-1}$.

We obtain then the following generalization of [29, 1.6]:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ be a bicomodule, quasi-finite as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule, such that ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ is flat or $\mathfrak{C}$ is a coseparable A -coring. Let $\theta : 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}} \rightarrow h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) \otimes_A N$ be the unit of the adjunction $(h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -), - \otimes_A N)$, and let T be a k -algebra. If $\Upsilon_{-, -} : - \otimes_T h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) \rightarrow h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, - \otimes_T -)$ is the natural isomorphism associated to the cohom functor $h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, then $\Upsilon_{W,M} = \Xi_{W,M}^{-1}$, where $\Xi_{W,M}$ is defined as above.*

As a consequence of the last result we get the following generalization of [29, 1.13].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ be a bicomodule, quasi-finite as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule, such that ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ is flat. Suppose that ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is flat (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an abelian category). Let $\theta : 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}} \rightarrow h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) \otimes_A N$ be the unit of the adjunction $(h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -), - \otimes_A N)$. If the cohom functor $h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -)$ is exact (resp. $\mathfrak{D}$ is a coseparable B -coring), then the natural isomorphism δ (see [30, Corollary 3.5]):*

$$h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -) \simeq -\square_{\mathfrak{D}} h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, \mathfrak{D}) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$$

satisfy the property:

For every $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$, $\delta_M : h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, M) \rightarrow M \square_{\mathfrak{D}} h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, \mathfrak{D})$ is the unique right A -linear map satisfying

$$(\delta_M \otimes_A N) \theta_M = (M \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \theta_{\mathfrak{D}}) \rho_M.$$

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$, we have $(\Xi_{M,\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_A N) \theta_{M \otimes_B \mathfrak{D}} = M \otimes_B \theta_{\mathfrak{D}}$. On the other hand, since θ is a natural transformation, $(\Xi_{M,\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_A N) \theta_{M \otimes_B \mathfrak{D}} \rho_M = (\Xi_{M,\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_A N) (h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, \rho_M) \otimes_A N) \theta_M = (i \delta_M \otimes_A N) \theta_M$, where $i : M \square_{\mathfrak{D}} h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, \mathfrak{D}) \hookrightarrow M \otimes_B h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, \mathfrak{D})$ is the canonical injection. Therefore, $(i \otimes_A N) (\delta_M \otimes_A N) \theta_M = (M \otimes_B \theta_{\mathfrak{D}}) \rho_M$. Since $i \otimes_A N$ is a monomorphism (${}_A N$ is flat), $(\delta_M \otimes_A N) \theta_M = (M \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \theta_{\mathfrak{D}}) \rho_M$. $\square$

Now we will give a series of properties concerning our comatrix coring.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\Lambda \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ be a bicomodule, quasi-finite as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule, such that ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ and ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ are flat. Set $X = h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. If*

- (a) *$\mathfrak{C}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat, the cohom functor $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ is exact and ${}_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda$ is coflat, or*
- (b) *A and B are von Neumann regular rings and the cohom functor $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ is exact, or*
- (c) *$\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable corings,*

then we have

- (1) *$\delta_{\Lambda} : e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X$ is an isomorphism of B -corings;*
- (2) *$\chi_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \lambda_{\Lambda}) = \omega \circ \delta_{\Lambda} : e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ and $\omega : \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a homomorphism of B -corings, where $\lambda_{\Lambda} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda$ is the left coaction of Λ , χ the counit of the adjunction $(h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -), -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda)$, and ω is the unique $\mathfrak{D} - \mathfrak{D}$ -bilinear map such that (9) is the counit of the adjunction $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}), -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $\theta : 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \rightarrow h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -) \otimes_B \Lambda$ be the unit of the adjunction $(h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -), -\otimes_B \Lambda)$.

(1) By [30, Theorem 2.3], $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -) \simeq -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$. In the first case, $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ is coflat as a left $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule. By [14, Proposition 3.4], $\theta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda$ is a $\mathfrak{C}$ -bilinear map.

From Proposition 2.3, for every $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \\ \theta_M \downarrow & & \downarrow M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}} \\ h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, M) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\delta_M \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda} & M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda. \end{array}$$

From [30, Lemma 2.6], the unit and the counit of the adjunction $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}), -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda)$ are

$$\eta : 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\theta_{\mathfrak{C}}} -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda \quad (8)$$

and

$$\varepsilon : -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}}h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \xrightarrow{-\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\omega} -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{\simeq} 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}}. \quad (9)$$

To show that δ_{Λ} is a homomorphism of B -corings, it suffices to prove (see [8, 23.8]):

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_{\Lambda} \otimes_B \delta_{\Lambda} \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ (e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \otimes_B \theta_{\Lambda}) \circ \theta_{\Lambda} \\ &= (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ [\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda] \circ (\delta_{\Lambda} \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ \theta_{\Lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ (\omega \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ (\delta_{\Lambda} \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ \theta_{\Lambda} = [\Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} B \otimes_B \Lambda]. \quad (11)$$

Now we will prove (8). We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta_\Lambda \otimes_B \delta_\Lambda \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ (e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \otimes_B \theta_\Lambda) \circ \theta_\Lambda &= \left[\delta_\Lambda \otimes_B ((\delta_\Lambda \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ \theta_\Lambda) \right] \circ \theta_\Lambda \\
&= \left[(\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \otimes_B ((\delta_\Lambda \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ \theta_\Lambda) \right] \circ (\delta_\Lambda \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ \theta_\Lambda \\
&= \left[(\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \otimes_B ((\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \circ \rho_\Lambda) \right] \circ (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \circ \rho_\Lambda \\
&\quad \text{(using Proposition 2.3)} \\
&= (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \circ (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \rho_\Lambda) \circ (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \circ \rho_\Lambda
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ [\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda] \circ (\delta_\Lambda \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ \theta_\Lambda \\
&= (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ [\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B \Lambda] \circ (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \circ \rho_\Lambda.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Now, by [14, Proposition 3.4], $\theta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \otimes_B \Lambda$ is $\mathfrak{C}$ -bilinear. Then $\theta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ makes commutative the diagrams (4) and (5) (by replacing ψ by $\theta_{\mathfrak{C}}$). Hence (10) = (11).

Finally, we will prove (9).

$$\begin{aligned}
(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ (\omega \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ (\delta_\Lambda \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ \theta_\Lambda &= (\epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ (\omega \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \circ \rho_\Lambda \\
&\quad \text{(using Proposition 2.3)} \\
&= (\epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_B \Lambda) \circ \lambda_\Lambda \quad \text{(using the first equality of (3))} \\
&= [\Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} B \otimes_B \Lambda].
\end{aligned}$$

(2) Since δ is a natural isomorphism, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\delta_\Lambda} & \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \\
h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \lambda_\Lambda) \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \\
h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda}} & (\mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X
\end{array}$$

is commutative. The counit of the adjunction $(h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -), -\square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda)$ is

$$h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\delta_{-\square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda}} -\square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \xrightarrow{-\square_{\mathfrak{D}} \omega} -\square_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{\simeq} 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}}. \tag{14}$$

Then, $\chi_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \lambda_\Lambda) = [\mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{D}] \circ (\mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \omega) \circ (\lambda_\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \circ \delta_\Lambda$.

Since ω is left $\mathfrak{D}$ -colinear, and $\lambda_\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X = \lambda_\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X$, and from the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \omega} & \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathfrak{D} \\
& \searrow \lambda_\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \swarrow \Delta_{\mathfrak{D}} & \\
& & \mathfrak{D} \otimes_B (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D} \otimes_B \omega} & \mathfrak{D} \otimes_B \mathfrak{D} & &
\end{array} ,$$

$\chi_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \lambda_{\Lambda}) = \omega \circ \delta_{\Lambda}$. Finally, by [12, Proposition 5.2], it is a homomorphism of B -corings. Hence, ω is also a homomorphism of B -corings. $\square$

Example 2.5. Let ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ and ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ be flat. Let $(\varphi, \rho) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a homomorphism of corings. Suppose that $\mathfrak{C}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat and $\mathfrak{D}(B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})$ is coflat, or $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable corings. Then, we have $(- \otimes_A B, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}(B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}))$ is an adjoint pair, and $- \otimes_A B \simeq -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A B)$ (see the proof of [30, Theorem 4.1]). By [8, 23.9], the comatrix coring $(B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A B)$ ($\simeq e_{\mathfrak{C}}(B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})$) is isomorphic (as corings) to the coring $B\mathfrak{C}B$ (see [8, 17.2]).

Theorem 2.6. *Let ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ be flat. Let $\Lambda \in {}_B\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ be a bicomodule, quasi-finite as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule. Set $X = h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}_B$. If $\mathfrak{C}_A$ is flat and the cohom functor $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ is exact, or if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a coseparable coring, then we have*

- (1) *The functor $- \otimes_B \Lambda : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is separable if and only if the comatrix coring $\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ is a cosplit B -coring.*
- (2) *If the cohom functor $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ is separable then the comatrix coring $\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ is a coseparable B -coring.*
- (3) *If $- \otimes_B \Lambda : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a Frobenius functor, either X_B is flat and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is coflat or $\mathfrak{C}$ is coseparable, and if for all $f \in (\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X)^*$ and all $b \in B$, $bH(f) = H(f)b$, where $H : (\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X)^* \longrightarrow \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ is the isomorphism defined by (17) (this is the case if $\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ is a coalgebra (i.e. $B = k$)), then the comatrix coring $\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ is a Frobenius B -coring.*

Proof. (1) Let $\omega : \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X \rightarrow B$ the unique B -bilinear map such that

$$\varepsilon : - \otimes_B \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \xrightarrow{- \otimes_B \omega} - \otimes_B \mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{\simeq} 1_{\mathcal{M}_B} \quad (15)$$

is the counit of the adjunction $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}), - \otimes_B \Lambda)$. We have, $\varepsilon_{\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X} = \omega$. By [30, Lemma 2.6] and Rafael's theorem [10, Theorem 24], the functor $- \otimes_B \Lambda : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is separable if and only if there exists a B -bilinear map $\omega' : B \rightarrow \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ satisfying $\omega \circ \omega' = 1_B$. Hence, (1) follows from the definition of a cosplit coring (see [8]).

(2) We know that the unit of the adjunction $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}), - \otimes_B \Lambda)$ is

$$\eta : 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\theta_{\mathfrak{C}}} -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \otimes_B \Lambda. \quad (16)$$

By [30, Lemma 2.6] and Rafael's theorem [10, Theorem 24], the cohom functor is separable if and only if there exists a $\mathfrak{C} - \mathfrak{C}$ -bilinear map $\psi' : h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \otimes_B \Lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ satisfying $\psi' \circ \theta_{\mathfrak{C}} = 1_{\mathfrak{C}}$. We have, $\Delta_{\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X} = (\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\theta_{\mathfrak{C}}\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X) \circ [\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X]$.

Let $f = [\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X] \circ (\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\psi'\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X)$. We have, $f \circ \Delta_{\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X} = 1_{\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X} \circ f &= [[\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda] \circ (\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\psi')] \square_{\mathfrak{C}}[(\psi\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X) \circ [X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{C}\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X]] \\ &= (f \otimes_B \Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X) \circ (\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X \otimes_B \Delta_{\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X} \circ f &= [(\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \psi) \circ [\Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}]] \square_{\mathfrak{C}} [[\mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \xrightarrow{\cong} X] \circ (\psi' \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X)] \\ &= (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B f) \circ (\Delta_{\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X} \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X).\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of a coseparable coring (see [15] or [8]), $\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X$ is a coseparable coring.

(3) We will prove it using [8, 27.13]. Set $T = (\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X)^*$, $\Delta = \Delta_{\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X}$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X}$. At first from [30, Theorem 2.11], $(X \otimes_B -, \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} -)$ is a Frobenius pair, and then $\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \otimes_B -$ is a Frobenius functor. Hence $(\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X)_B$ is finitely generated projective.

Let us consider the isomorphism

$$H : T \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)^* \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Lambda, B}} \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(B \otimes_B \Lambda, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_B(B, \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X,$$

where $\phi_{\Lambda, B} : \mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)^* \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)$ is the canonical anti-isomorphism of rings defined in [8, 23.8]. Then, (by using the following consequence of Proposition 2.3; $\Delta = [(\delta_{\Lambda} \otimes_B \Lambda) \theta_{\Lambda}] \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X$), for $f \in T$,

$$\begin{aligned}H(f) &= \left[(\phi_{\Lambda, B}(f \delta_{\Lambda}) [B \otimes_B \Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda]) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \right] \xi_B(1_B) \\ &= [B \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X] (f \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \Delta [B \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X] \xi_B(1_B), \quad (17)\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi : 1_{\mathcal{M}_B} \rightarrow - \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X$ is the unit of the adjunction $(- \otimes_B \Lambda, - \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X)$.

Now, let $f, f' \in T$, $\phi_{\Lambda, B}(f *^r f') = \phi_{\Lambda, B}(f' \delta_{\Lambda}) \phi_{\Lambda, B}(f \delta_{\Lambda})$.

$$\begin{aligned}H(f *^r f') &= (\phi_{\Lambda, B}(f' \delta_{\Lambda}) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) (H(f)) \\ &= [B \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X] (f' \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \Delta (H(f)) \\ &= H(f) \cdot f'.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let $f \in T$, $b \in B$ and let $i_R : B \rightarrow T$ be the anti-morphism of rings defined by $i_R(b) = \epsilon(b-)$ for $B \in B$ (see [8, 17.8(1)]).

$$H(b.f) = H(i_R(b) *^r f) = \left[\left(\phi_{\Lambda, B}(f \delta_{\Lambda}) \phi_{\Lambda, B}(i_R(b) \delta_{\Lambda}) [\Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} B \otimes_B \Lambda] \right) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \right] \xi_B(1_B).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\Lambda, B}(i_R(b) \delta_{\Lambda}) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X &= [B \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X] (\epsilon(b-) \otimes_B \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X) \Delta \\ &= b 1_{\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $H(b.f) = bH(f)$. □

The last result is a generalization of [6, Theorem 3.2(1), Theorem 3.5(1)].

Corollary 2.7. *Let ${}_B M_A$ be a (B, A) -bimodule such that M_A is finitely generated projective. Then*

- (1) *${}_A M_B^*$ is a separable bimodule if and only if the comatrix coring $M^* \otimes_B M$ is a cosplit A -coring.*
- (2) *If M is a separable bimodule, then the comatrix coring $M^* \otimes_B M$ is a coseparable A -coring.*
- (3) *If M is a Frobenius bimodule, then the comatrix coring $M^* \otimes_B M$ is a Frobenius A -coring.*

Proof. Define $\psi : B \rightarrow M \otimes_A M^*$ by $b \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n b e_i \otimes e_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes e_i^* b$, where $\{e_i, e_i^*\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ is a dual basis of M_A , and $\omega : M^* \otimes_B M \rightarrow A$ by $\varphi \otimes m \mapsto \varphi(m)$ (the evaluation map). Then $(A, B, {}_A M_B^*, {}_B M_A, \omega, \psi)$ is a comatrix coring context (see [6, p. 3]). From [30, Proposition 2.8], we have the adjunction $(-\otimes_B M, -\otimes_A M^*)$, in particular, $\Lambda = M^* \in {}_A \mathcal{M}_B$ is quasi-finite as a right B -(co)module, and the cohom functor is $-\otimes_B M$.

(1) It is clear from the definition of a separable bimodule (see [27] or [16]), that ${}_A M_B^*$ is a separable bimodule if and only if ω is an A -bimodule retraction.

(2) Let $S = \text{End}_A(M_A)$. Define $\lambda : B \rightarrow S$ by $b \mapsto \lambda_B : M \rightarrow M, [m \mapsto bm]$, and $\phi : S \rightarrow M \otimes_A M^*$ by $s \mapsto \sum_i s(e_i) \otimes e_i^*$, where $\{e_i, e_i^*\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ is a dual basis of M_A . ϕ is an isomorphism of B -bimodule, with inverse map $m \otimes \varphi \mapsto [x \mapsto m\varphi(x)]$. It is easy to see that $\psi = \phi \circ \lambda$. From Sugano's theorem [27, Theorem 1, Proposition 2] (see also [16, Theorem 3.1]), the bimodule M is separable if and only if λ is a split extension, i.e., λ is a B -bimodule section. However that is equivalent to ψ is a B -bimodule section.

(3) This is [6, Theorem 3.7(1)]. □

3 Applications to equivalences of categories of comodules

In this section we will generalize and improve the main results concerning equivalences between categories of comodules given in [29], [1] and [8]. We also give new characterizations of equivalences between categories of comodules over separable corings or corings with a duality.

A functor $S : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is called an equivalence, if there exists a functor $T : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with natural isomorphisms $\eta : 1 \rightarrow TS$ and $\varepsilon : ST \rightarrow 1$. We recall from [17, p. 93] that an adjoint equivalence of categories is an adjunction $(S, T, \eta, \varepsilon)$ in which both the unit $\eta : 1 \rightarrow TS$ and the counit $\varepsilon : ST \rightarrow 1$ are natural isomorphisms. We have, (S, T) is a pair of inverse equivalences if and only if S and T are part of an adjoint equivalence $(S, T, \eta, \varepsilon)$. The proof is analogous to that of [17, Theorem IV.4.1]: The “if” part is trivial. For the “only if” part, let $\eta : 1 \rightarrow TS$ be a natural isomorphism, then $\varphi_{C,D}(\alpha) = T(\alpha) \cdot \eta_C$ for $\alpha : S(C) \rightarrow D$, is a natural transformation in C and D . Since T is faithful and full, φ is a natural isomorphism, and T is a right adjoint to S . Therefore the counit of this

adjunction $\varepsilon : ST \rightarrow 1$ satisfies $T(\varepsilon_D) \cdot \eta_{T(D)} = 1_{T(D)}$ for every $D \in \mathbf{D}$, and consequently $T(\varepsilon_D) = (\eta_{T(D)})^{-1}$ is invertible. Since T is faithful and full, ε_D is also invertible, and ε is a natural isomorphism (to show that ε is a natural isomorphism we can also use [17, Theorem IV.3.1]).

The following result generalizes the case of the category of modules [3, II (2.4)].

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{C}_A$, ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat. Let $X \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.*

The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda)$ is a pair of inverse equivalences;
- (2) there exist bicomodule isomorphisms

$$f : X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \quad \text{and} \quad g : \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$$

in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and ${}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ respectively, such that

- (a) ${}_AX$ and ${}_B\Lambda$ are flat, and $\omega_{X,\Lambda} = \rho_X \otimes_B \Lambda - X \otimes_A \rho_{\Lambda}$ is pure in ${}_A\mathcal{M}$ and $\omega_{\Lambda,X} = \rho_{\Lambda} \otimes_A X - \Lambda \otimes_B \rho_X$ is pure in ${}_B\mathcal{M}$, or
- (b) ${}_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ and ${}_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda$ are coflat.

In such a case the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} f} & \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} & X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X & \xrightarrow{f \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X} & \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \\ g \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} g \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Lambda & X \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & X \end{array} \quad (18)$$

commute.

If A and B are von Neumann regular rings, or if $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable corings (without $\mathfrak{C}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat), the conditions (a) and (b) can be deleted.

Proof. Clear from [30, Lemma 2.6, Proposition 2.7] and the above mentioned consideration. $\square$

Following [1] and [8], we state the following definition.

Definition 3.2. A bicomodule $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is called an *injector* (resp. a *cogenerator preserving*, resp. an *injector-cogenerator*) as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule if the functor $- \otimes_A N : \mathcal{M}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ preserves injective (resp. cogenerator, resp. injective cogenerator) objects.

The version for module categories of the following lemma is given in [2, Exercise 20.8].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ be two abelian categories, and let $S : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ be a functor. Let $T : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a right adjoint of S . We have the following properties:*

- (1) If $N \in \mathbf{D}$ is injective and S is exact, then $T(N) \in \mathbf{C}$ is injective.
- (2) If $N \in \mathbf{D}$ is cogenerator and $T(N) \in \mathbf{C}$ is injective, then S is exact.
- (3) If $N \in \mathbf{D}$ is cogenerator and S is faithful, then $T(N) \in \mathbf{C}$ is a cogenerator.
- (4) If $T(N) \in \mathbf{C}$ is a cogenerator for some $N \in \mathbf{D}$, then S is faithful.

If moreover $\mathbf{D}$ is a category with sufficiently many injectives (e.g. if $\mathbf{D}$ is a Grothendieck category), then the following property holds:

- (5) S is exact if and only if T preserves injective objects.

If moreover $\mathbf{D}$ is an AB 3 category with generators and sufficiently many injectives (e.g. if $\mathbf{D}$ is a Grothendieck category), then the following properties hold:

- (6) S is faithful if and only if T preserves cogenerator objects.
- (7) S is faithfully exact if and only if T preserves injective cogenerator objects.

In particular, let $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ be a bicomodule, quasi-finite as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule, such that ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ and ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ are flat. N is an injector (resp. a cogenerator preserving, resp. an injector-cogenerator) as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule if and only if the cohom functor $h_{\mathfrak{D}}(N, -)$ is exact (resp. faithful, resp. faithfully exact).

Proof. (1) is [23, Lemma 3.2.7]. (2), (3) and (4) are clear from the theorem of Freyd [20, Theorem II.7.1]. (5) is [23, Theorem 3.2.8]. (6) is an immediate consequence of (3) and (4) and the fact that every Grothendieck category has a cogenerator object (see [23, Lemma 3.7.12]). The “only if” part of (7) is obvious from (1) and (3). The “if” part is an immediate consequence of (2) and (4) and the fact that every Grothendieck category has an injective cogenerator object (see [23, Lemma 3.7.12]). $\square$

The first part of the following is [8, 23.10]. We think that the proof we give here is more clear.

Proposition 3.4. *Let ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ be flat and let $\Lambda \in {}_B\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ be quasi-finite as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule. Let $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ be the cohom functor of Λ . Denote by $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)$ the coendomorphism coring of Λ . Suppose that $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)$ is flat as left B -module.*

- (1) *If $\mathfrak{C}_A$ is flat and $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)$ is flat as right B -module, and Λ is an injector-cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule, then the functors*

$$-\square_{e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)}\Lambda : \mathcal{M}^{e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \quad h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)}, \quad (19)$$

are inverse equivalences.

- (2) *If $\mathfrak{C}$ and $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)$ are coseparable corings, and Λ is a cogenerator preserving as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule, then the functors of (19) are inverse equivalences.*

Proof. We will prove at the same time the two statements. Let $\theta : 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \rightarrow h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -) \otimes_B \Lambda$ be the unit of the adjunction $(h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -), - \otimes_B \Lambda)$. By [30, Theorem 2.3], $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -) \simeq - \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$. In the case (1), $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ is coflat as a left $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule. We put $\mathfrak{D} = e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda)$. By [14, Proposition 3.4], $\delta_{\Lambda} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ is $\mathfrak{D} - \mathfrak{D}$ -bilinear. Therefore, $- \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \simeq - \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{D}$ is exact. Hence, in the case (1), the functor $- \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda$ is exact (since $h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ is faithful). From the proof of Proposition 2.4(1), the unit of the adjunction $(- \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}), - \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda)$ is

$$\eta : 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} - \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{- \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}}} - \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda.$$

From Proposition 2.3, δ_{Λ} is the unique map making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \theta_{\mathfrak{C}} \\ \mathfrak{D} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\Lambda} \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda} & \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda). \end{array}$$

By [30, Lemma 2.6, Proposition 2.7], the counit of the adjunction $(- \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}), - \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda)$ is

$$\varepsilon : - \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \xrightarrow{- \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \delta_{\Lambda}^{-1}} - \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{\simeq} 1_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}},$$

and we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathfrak{C} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{\mathfrak{C}} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \\ h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{D} & \xleftarrow{h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \delta_{\Lambda}^{-1}} & h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}). \end{array}$$

Then, $\theta_{\mathfrak{C}} \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ is an isomorphism. Since $- \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ is faithful, $\theta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is also an isomorphism. Finally, the unit and the counit of the adjunction $(- \square_{\mathfrak{C}} h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C}), - \square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda)$ are natural isomorphisms. $\square$

The first part of the following is contained in [12, Theorem 3.10].

Corollary 3.5. *Let ${}_B M_A$ be a (B, A) -bimodule such that M_A is finitely generated projective.*

(1) *The following statements are equivalent*

- (a) *${}_A(M^* \otimes_B M)$ is flat and $- \otimes_B M : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{M^* \otimes_B M}$ is an equivalence of categories;*
- (b) *${}_B M$ is faithfully flat.*

(2) *If $\mathcal{M}^{M^* \otimes_B M}$ is an abelian category, and $M^* \otimes_B M$ is an A -coseparable coring, then the following statements are equivalent*

- (a) *$- \otimes_B M : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{M^* \otimes_B M}$ is an equivalence of categories;*

(b) ${}_B M$ is completely faithful.

Proof. It suffices to take $\Lambda = M^* \in {}_A \mathcal{M}_B$ in Proposition 3.4. $\square$

The first part of the following is contained in [8, 23.12]. We think that the proof we give here is more clear.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$ and ${}_B \mathfrak{D}$ are flat, and let $X \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. If $\mathfrak{C}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat, then the following statements are equivalent*

- (1) $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}} X, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}} \Lambda)$ is a pair of inverse equivalences;
- (2) Λ is quasi-finite injector-cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{D}$ as corings and $X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$;
- (3) X is quasi-finite injector-cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule, $e_{\mathfrak{D}}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{C}$ as corings and $\Lambda \simeq h_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathfrak{D})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

If moreover $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable or cosemisimple, then (1) is equivalent to

- (4) Λ is quasi-finite cogenerator preserving as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{D}$ as corings and $X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$;
- (5) X is quasi-finite cogenerator preserving as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule, $e_{\mathfrak{D}}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{C}$ as corings and $\Lambda \simeq h_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathfrak{D})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Proof. At first we will prove the first part.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) By [30, Proposition 2.9], Λ is quasi-finite injector as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule, and $X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$. Therefore, $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ is faithful. By Lemma 3.3, Λ is quasi-finite injector-cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule. Finally, by Proposition 2.4, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{D}$ as corings.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) By [30, Proposition 2.9], $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$. Hence (1) follows obviously from Proposition 3.4(1).

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) Follows by symmetry.

Now we will prove the second part. The case “cosemisimple” is obvious from the first part. It suffices to show the “coseparable” case.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Obvious from the first part.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) By [30, Proposition 2.7], $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}} X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$. Hence (1) follows obviously from Proposition 3.4(2).

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5) Follows by symmetry. $\square$

As an immediate consequence of [30, Proposition 2.7] and Proposition 3.6, we get the two following theorems.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{C}_A$, ${}_B \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat, and let $X \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}} \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda)$ is a pair of inverse equivalences with $X_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are coflat;
- (2) $(\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}-, X\square_{\mathfrak{D}}-)$ is a pair of inverse equivalences with ${}_{\mathfrak{C}}X$ and ${}_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda$ are coflat;
- (3) Λ is quasi-finite injector-cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule with $X_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are coflat, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{D}$ as corings and $X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$;
- (4) X is quasi-finite injector-cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule with $X_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are coflat, $e_{\mathfrak{D}}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{C}$ as corings and $\Lambda \simeq h_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathfrak{D})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$;
- (5) Λ is quasi-finite injector-cogenerator coflat on both sides, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{D}$ as corings, and $X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$;
- (6) X is quasi-finite injector-cogenerator coflat on both sides, $e_{\mathfrak{D}}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{C}$ as corings, and $\Lambda \simeq h_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathfrak{D})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Theorem 3.8. Suppose that ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{C}_A$, ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat, and let $X \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. If $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable or cosemisimple (resp. A and B are von Neumann regular ring), then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda)$ is a pair of inverse equivalences;
- (2) $(\Lambda\square_{\mathfrak{C}}-, X\square_{\mathfrak{D}}-)$ is a pair of inverse equivalences;
- (3) Λ is quasi-finite cogenerator preserving (resp. injector-cogenerator) as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{D}$ as corings and $X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$;
- (4) X is quasi-finite cogenerator preserving (resp. injector-cogenerator) as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule, $e_{\mathfrak{D}}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{C}$ as corings and $\Lambda \simeq h_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathfrak{D})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$;
- (5) Λ is quasi-finite cogenerator preserving (resp. injector-cogenerator) on both sides, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{D}$ as corings, and $X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$;
- (6) X is quasi-finite cogenerator preserving (resp. injector-cogenerator) on both sides, $e_{\mathfrak{D}}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{C}$ as corings, and $\Lambda \simeq h_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathfrak{D})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Remark 3.9. As a relevant consequence of the last theorem, we can prove the Morita's characterization of equivalence [2, Theorem 22.2]: Let A and B be rings and let

$$F : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_B \quad \text{and} \quad G : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$$

be additive functors. Then the following statements are equivalent

- (1) F and G are inverse equivalences;
- (2) there exists a bimodule ${}_AM_B$ such that:
 - (a) ${}_AM$ and M_B are progenerators (i.e., finitely generated, projective and generators),

- (b) ${}_A M_B$ is (faithfully) balanced (see [2, p. 60]),
(c) $F \simeq - \otimes_A M$ and $G \simeq \text{Hom}_B(M, -)$;
(3) there exists a bimodule ${}_A M_B$ such that: M_B is finitely generated projective, ${}_A M$ is completely faithful, the evaluation map $M^* \otimes_A M \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism, and $F \simeq - \otimes_A M$ and $G \simeq \text{Hom}_B(M, -)$.

Moreover in such a case, ${}_B M^*$ and M_A^* are progenerators, and

$$F \simeq \text{Hom}_A(M^*, -) \quad \text{and} \quad G \simeq - \otimes_B M^*.$$

Now, we will study when the category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ for some coring $\mathfrak{C}$ is equivalent to a category of modules $\mathcal{M}_B$.

Let $\Sigma \in {}_B \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ such that Σ_A is finitely generated projective with a dual basis $\{e_i, e_i^*\}_i$, and let $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. By [9, Proposition 1.4], the canonical isomorphism $\text{Hom}_A(\Sigma, M) \rightarrow M \otimes_A \Sigma^*$ yields an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M) \rightarrow M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^*$, where the left coaction on Σ^* is given by

$$\lambda_{\Sigma^*} : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \Sigma^*, \quad \lambda_{\Sigma^*}(f) = \sum_i f(e_{i(0)}) e_{i(1)} \otimes_A e_i^*.$$

Moreover, we have an adjoint pair (F, G) , where

$$F = - \otimes_B \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}},$$

and

$$G = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_B.$$

The unit and the counit of this adjunction are given by: For $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$,

$$v_N : N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, N \otimes_B \Sigma), \quad v_N(n)(u) = n \otimes_B u,$$

or

$$v_N : N \rightarrow (N \otimes_B \Sigma) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^*, \quad v_N(n) = \sum_i (n \otimes_B e_i) \otimes_A e_i^*,$$

and for $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$:

$$\zeta_M : \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, M) \otimes_B \Sigma \rightarrow M, \quad \zeta_M(\varphi \otimes_B u) = \varphi(u),$$

or

$$\zeta_M : (M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^*) \otimes_B \Sigma \rightarrow M, \quad \zeta_M\left(\left(\sum_j m_j \otimes_A f_j\right) \otimes_B u\right) = \sum_j m_j f_j(u)$$

(see [9, Proposition 1.5]).

Let us define the map

$$\mathbf{can} : \Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}, \quad \mathbf{can}(f \otimes_B u) = f(u_{(0)}) u_{(1)}.$$

From [9, Lemma 3.1], we have **can** is a morphism of corings. It follows from this that **can** is a $\mathfrak{C}$ -bilinear map. Moreover, we can verify easily that for every $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^*) \otimes_B \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\zeta_M} & M \\ \downarrow \psi_M & & \downarrow \simeq \\ M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (\Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma) & \xrightarrow{M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{can}} & M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}, \end{array} \quad (20)$$

where ψ_M is the canonical map. We obtain that if ψ_M is isomorphism, for every $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ (for example if ${}_B \Sigma$ is flat or if $\mathfrak{C}$ is coseparable), then G is fully faithful if and only if **can** is an isomorphism (see [17, Theorem IV.3.1]).

Let us consider the map $v : B \rightarrow \Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^*$, $v(b) = \sum_i b e_i \otimes_A e_i^*$ ($b \in B$). For every $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{v_N} & (N \otimes_B \Sigma) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^* \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \uparrow \psi_N \\ N \otimes_B B & \xrightarrow{N \otimes_B v} & N \otimes_B (\Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^*), \end{array} \quad (21)$$

where ψ_N is the canonical map. Let $\phi : B \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma)$ be the canonical morphism of k -algebras which define the left action on Σ ($\phi(b)(u) := bu$) (see Section 1 of [30]). We have $v : B \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^*$. We obtain that if ψ_N is an isomorphism, for every $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$ (for example if $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is projective or if $\mathfrak{C}$ is coseparable), then F is fully faithful if and only if ϕ is an isomorphism (see [17, Theorem IV.3.1]).

Furthermore, if ${}_B \Sigma$ is flat or $\mathfrak{C}$ is coseparable, then we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & B \otimes_B \Sigma \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow v \otimes_B \Sigma \\ \Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} & \xleftarrow{\Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{can}} & \Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma. \end{array} \quad (22)$$

Now we are ready to state and prove the following theorem. In the particular case where $B = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma)$, the first part of Theorem is known, see [12, Theorem 3.2] and [8, 18.27].

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\Sigma \in {}_B \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ such that Σ_A is finitely generated projective. Let $F = - \otimes_B \Sigma : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $G = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma, -) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_B$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *(F, G) is a pair of inverse equivalences with ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$ flat;*
- (2) *${}_B \Sigma$ is flat, $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is projective, and **can**, and the morphism of k -algebras $\phi : B \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma)$ defined by $\phi(b)(u) = bu$ for $b \in B, u \in \Sigma$, are isomorphisms;*

(3) ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is flat, $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a projective generator, and the morphism of k -algebras $\phi : B \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma)$ defined by $\phi(b)(u) = bu$ for $b \in B, u \in \Sigma$, is an isomorphism;

(4) ${}_B\Sigma$ is faithfully flat, and $\mathbf{can}$ is an isomorphism.

If moreover $\mathfrak{C}$ is coseparable, and ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is projective, then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) (F, G) is a pair of inverse equivalences;

(2) $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a generator, and the morphism of k -algebras $\phi : B \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma)$ defined by $\phi(b)(u) = bu$ for $b \in B, u \in \Sigma$, is an isomorphism;

(3) the morphism of k -algebras $\phi : B \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma)$ defined by $\phi(b)(u) = bu$ for $b \in B, u \in \Sigma$, is an isomorphism, and $\mathbf{can}$ is a surjective map;

(4) ${}_B\Sigma$ is completely faithful, and $\mathbf{can}$ is a bijective map.

Proof. First we will prove the first statement. It is obvious that the condition (1) implies the other conditions.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) That ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is flat follows from ${}_B\Sigma$ is flat and $\mathbf{can}$ is an isomorphism of A -bimodules. To prove that (F, G) is a pair of equivalences, it is enough to use the commutativity of the diagrams (20) and (21).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Follows from the Gabriel-Popescu Theorem [23, Theorem 3.7.9], and the commutativity of the diagram (21).

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) From $\mathbf{can}$ is an isomorphism, it follows that $-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma) \simeq -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}\mathfrak{C}$, and the following diagram is commutative and each of its morphisms is an isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes_B (\Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^*) \otimes_B \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\psi_{N \otimes_B \Sigma}} & ((N \otimes_B \Sigma) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \Sigma^*) \otimes_B \Sigma \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ N \otimes_B (\Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (\Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma)) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (N \otimes_B \Sigma) \square_{\mathfrak{C}} (\Sigma^* \otimes_B \Sigma). \end{array}$$

Then ψ_N is an isomorphism (since $-\otimes_B \Sigma$ is faithful). From the commutativity of the diagram (22), $\mathbf{can}$ is an isomorphism, and $-\otimes_B \Sigma$ is faithful, we have v is an isomorphism. Finally from the commutativity of the diagram (21), v_N is an isomorphism for every $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$.

Now we will prove the second statement. Obviously the condition (1) implies the condition (4).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) By [30, Proposition 2.7], $(\Sigma^* \otimes_B -, \Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} -)$ is an adjoint pair, and furthermore, $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is generator if and only if $\Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} -$ is faithful. From the commutativity of the diagram (22), and $\Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} -$ is faithful, it follows that $\mathbf{can}$ is an isomorphism. Hence (2) follows.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ is projective, and $\mathbf{can}$ is surjective, $\mathbf{can}$ is a retraction in ${}_A\mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathfrak{C}$ is coseparable, it follows that $\mathbf{can}$ is a retraction in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}$. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$,

$M \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{can}$ is a retraction in $\mathcal{M}_k$, and from the commutativity of the diagram (20), ζ_M is surjective for every $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. By [17, Theorem IV.3.1], $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a generator.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) We have that $\Sigma \square_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{can}$ is bijective. From the commutativity of the diagram (22), $v \otimes_B \Sigma$ is bijective. Since ${}_B \Sigma$ is completely faithful, v and ϕ are also bijective. $\square$

Remark 3.11. In [9, Proposition 5.6], the authors have a similar version of our second statement. They state that if $\mathfrak{C}_A$ is projective, $B = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Sigma)$, and $\mathfrak{C}$ is coseparable, then (F, G) defined as above is a pair of inverse equivalences.

In order to give a generalization of [29, Theorem 3.5] and [1, Corollary 7.6], we need the following result.

First we will recall from [30] the definition of a coring having a duality. For details we refer to [30]. If $\mathfrak{C}_A$ is flat and $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is finitely presented as a right A -module, then [8, 19.19] the dual left A -module $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ has a left $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule structure

$$M^* \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M, \mathfrak{C}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_A(M, \mathfrak{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A M^*.$$

($f \mapsto (f \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ \rho_M$.) Now, if ${}_A M^*$ turns out to be finitely presented and ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$ is flat, then ${}^*(M^*) = \text{Hom}_A(M^*, A)$ is a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule and the canonical map $\sigma_M : M \rightarrow {}^*(M^*)$ is a homomorphism in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. This construction leads to a duality

$$(-)^* : \mathcal{M}_0^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightleftarrows {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}_0 : {}^*(-)$$

between the full subcategories $\mathcal{M}_0^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}_0$ of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}$ whose objects are the comodules which are finitely generated and projective over A on the corresponding side (this holds even without flatness assumptions of $\mathfrak{C}$). Call it the *basic duality*.

Proposition 3.12. [30, Proposition 3.5] *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an A -coring such that ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_A$ are flat. Assume that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}$ are locally noetherian categories. If ${}_A M^*$ and ${}^* N_A$ are finitely generated modules for every $M \in \mathcal{M}_f^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}_f$, then the basic duality extends to a right adjoint pair $(-)^* : \mathcal{M}_f^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightleftarrows {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}_f : {}^*(-)$. (see [11] for the definition of a right adjoint pair.)*

Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a coring over A satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 3.12. We will say that $\mathfrak{C}$ has a *duality* if the basic duality extends to a duality

$$(-)^* : \mathcal{M}_f^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightleftarrows {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}_f : {}^*(-),$$

where for a Grothendieck category $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{C}_f$ stands for the full subcategory whose objects are the finitely generated objects.

For instance, A cosemisimple coring, and a coring $\mathfrak{C}$ over a QF ring A such that ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_A$ are projective, are corings having a duality.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $N \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ be a bicomodule. Suppose that A is a QF ring.*

(a) *If N is an injector-cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule, then N is an injective cogenerator in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$.*

(b) If $\mathfrak{D}$ has a duality, and N is an injective cogenerator in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ such that N_B is flat, then N is an injector-cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule.

Proof. (a) Since A is a QF ring, then A_A is an injective cogenerator. Hence $N_{\mathfrak{D}} \simeq (A \otimes_A N)_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is an injective cogenerator.

(b) Let X_A be an injective cogenerator module. Since A is a QF ring, X_A is projective. We have then the natural isomorphism

$$(X \otimes_A N) \square_{\mathfrak{D}} - \simeq X \otimes_A (N \square_{\mathfrak{D}} -) : {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k.$$

By [30, Proposition 3.8], $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and X_A are faithfully coflat, and then $X \otimes_A N$ is faithfully coflat. Once again by [30, Proposition 3.8] ($X \otimes_A N$ is a flat right B -module), $X \otimes_A N$ is injective cogenerator in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$. $\square$

Theorem 3.14. Suppose that ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{C}_A$, ${}_B\mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat, and let $X \in {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda \in {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. If $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ have a duality, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda)$ is a pair of inverse equivalences with X_B and Λ_A are flat;
- (2) $(\Lambda \square_{\mathfrak{C}}-, X \square_{\mathfrak{D}}-)$ is a pair of inverse equivalences with ${}_AX$ and ${}_B\Lambda$ are flat;
If in particular A and B are QF rings, then (1) and (2) are equivalent to
- (3) Λ is quasi-finite injective cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule with X_B and Λ_A are flat, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{D}$ as corings and $X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$;
- (4) X is quasi-finite injective cogenerator as a right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule with X_B and Λ_A are flat, $e_{\mathfrak{D}}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{C}$ as corings and $\Lambda \simeq h_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathfrak{D})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$;
- (5) Λ is quasi-finite injective cogenerator on both sides, $e_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{D}$ as corings, and $X \simeq h_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, \mathfrak{C})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$;
- (6) X is quasi-finite injective cogenerator on both sides, $e_{\mathfrak{D}}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{C}$ as corings, and $\Lambda \simeq h_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathfrak{D})$ in ${}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Proof. The equivalence between (1) and (2) follows from Theorem 3.7, and the fact that if $(-\square_{\mathfrak{C}}X, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}\Lambda)$ is a Frobenius pair, $X_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are coflat if and only if X_B and Λ_A are flat (see the proof of [30, Theorem 3.11]).

- (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) Obvious from Proposition 3.6(I), and Lemma 3.13.
- (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) The proof is analogous to that of “(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3)”.
- (5) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Trivial.
- (1) $\Rightarrow$ (5) Obvious from the equivalence of (1), (2) and (3).
- (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6) Follows by symmetry.

$\square$

Remark 3.15. The second part of [1, Corollary 7.6] (and also the second part of [8, 12.14]) is true in a more general context. Let ${}_A\mathfrak{C}$ be flat. Consider the statements:

- (1) $\Lambda \in {}_B\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ be quasi-finite as a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule;
- (2) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M_0, \Lambda)$ is a finitely generated left B -module, for every finitely generated comodule $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$;
- (3) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M_0, \Lambda)$ is a finitely generated projective left B -module, for every finitely generated comodule $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

We have, (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) holds if B is a QF ring, and the category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is locally finitely generated, and the converse implication holds if in particular B is a semisimple ring, and the category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is locally finitely generated. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) holds if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a cosemisimple coring. Moreover, for the two cases, if (1) holds, then for every comodule $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$,

$$\mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, M) \simeq \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M_i, \Lambda)^*,$$

where $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ is the family of all finitely generated subcomodules of M .

We will prove at the same time (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ be a finitely generated comodule. We have, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, M_0)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_B(\mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, M_0), B) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M_0, \Lambda)$. Since the functor $-\otimes_B \Lambda$ is exact and preserves coproducts, the cohom functor $\mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, -)$ preserves finitely generated (resp. finitely generated projective) objects. In particular, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, M_0)$ is a finitely generated (resp. finitely generated projective) right B -module, and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M_0, \Lambda)$ so is. Therefore, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, M_0) \simeq \mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, M_0)^{**} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M_0, \Lambda)^*$. Hence, $\mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, M) \simeq \varinjlim \mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\Lambda, M_i) \simeq \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(M_i, \Lambda)^*$, where $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ is the family of all finitely generated subcomodules of M (since the cohom functor preserves inductive limits).

The proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) of [29, Proposition 1.3], remains valid to prove (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

4 Applications to induction functors

In this section we particularize our results in the previous section to induction functors introduced in [14].

A coring homomorphism [14] from the coring $\mathfrak{C}$ into the coring $\mathfrak{D}$ is a pair (φ, ρ) , where $\rho : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of k -algebras and $\varphi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a homomorphism of A -bimodules such that

$$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ \varphi = \rho \circ \epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ \varphi = \omega_{\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}} \circ (\varphi \otimes_A \varphi) \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}},$$

where $\omega_{\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}} : \mathfrak{D} \otimes_A \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \otimes_B \mathfrak{D}$ is the canonical map induced by $\rho : A \rightarrow B$.

Now we will characterize when the induction functor $-\otimes_A B : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ defined in [14, Proposition 5.3] is an equivalence of categories. The right $\mathfrak{D}$ -comodule structure on $M \otimes_A B$ is defined by (using Sweedler's sigma notation)

$$\rho_{M \otimes_A B}(m \otimes_A b) = \sum m_{(0)} \otimes_A 1_B \otimes_B \varphi(m_{(1)})b,$$

where $\rho_M(m) = \sum m_{(0)} \otimes_A m_{(1)}$ is the coaction of a right $\mathfrak{C}$ -comodule M .

We also define the functor $-\square_{\mathfrak{D}}(B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, where the left comultiplication on the left B -module $B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$ is given by:

$$\lambda_{B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}} : B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \otimes_B B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \simeq \mathfrak{D} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}, \quad b \otimes_A c \mapsto \sum b\varphi(c_{(1)}) \otimes_A c_{(2)},$$

where $\Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}(c) = \sum c_{(1)} \otimes_A c_{(2)}$.

Moreover, if ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$ is flat, then we have an adjunction $(-\otimes_A B, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}(B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}))$ (see [8, 24.11]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\varphi, \rho) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a homomorphism of corings such that ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$ and ${}_B \mathfrak{D}$ are flat. If $\mathfrak{C}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat (resp. $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable), then the following statements are equivalent*

- (a) $(-\otimes_A B, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}(B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}))$ is a pair of inverse equivalences;
- (b) the functor $-\otimes_A B$ is exact and faithful (resp. faithful), and there exists an isomorphism of B -corings $\mathfrak{D} \simeq B\mathfrak{C}B$.

Proof. From the proof of [30, Theorem 4.1], $-\otimes_A B \simeq -\square_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A B)$. The use of Proposition 3.6, Proposition 2.4, and [8, 23.9] achieves the proof. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be an A -coring. Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (a) The forgetful functor $U_r : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$ is an equivalence of categories;
- (b) The forgetful functor $U_l : {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M}$ is an equivalence of categories;
- (c) there exists an isomorphism of A -corings $A \simeq \mathfrak{C}$.

Proof. It is enough to apply the last theorem to the particular homomorphism of corings $(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}, 1_A) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow A$ which gives the well-known adjunction $(U_r, -\otimes_A \mathfrak{C})$, and observing that the map $A\mathfrak{C}A \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ defined by $[a \otimes c \otimes a' \mapsto aca']$, is an isomorphism of corings. $\square$

Finally, given a homomorphism of corings, we give sufficient conditions to have that the right induction functor is an equivalence if and only if the left induction functor so is. Note that for the case of coalgebras over fields (by (b)), or of rings (well-known) (by (d)), we have the left right symmetry.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(\varphi, \rho) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a homomorphism of corings such that ${}_A \mathfrak{C}$, ${}_B \mathfrak{D}$, $\mathfrak{C}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_B$ are flat. Assume that at least one of the following holds*

- (a) $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ have a duality, and ${}_A B$ and B_A are flat;
- (b) A and B are von Neumann regular rings;
- (c) $B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$ is coflat in ${}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathfrak{C} \otimes_A B$ is coflat in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ and ${}_A B$ and B_A are flat;

(d) $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ are coseparable corings.

Then the following statements are equivalent

1. $- \otimes_A B : \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is an equivalence of categories;
2. $B \otimes_A - : {}^{\mathfrak{C}}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}^{\mathfrak{D}}\mathcal{M}$ is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Obvious from Theorem 3.7, Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.14. $\square$

5 Applications to entwined modules and graded ring theory

In this section we particularize our results to corings associated to entwined structures and in particular those associated to a G -graded algebra and a right G -set, where G is group. As in [30], we adopt the notations of [24] and [10].

Let $(\alpha, \gamma) : (A, C, \psi) \rightarrow (A', C', \psi')$ be a morphism in $\mathbb{E}_{\bullet}(k)$ (see [10]). We recall from [30] that $(\alpha \otimes \gamma, \alpha) : A \otimes C \rightarrow A' \otimes C'$ is a morphism of corings and the functor F defined in [10, Lemma 8] satisfies the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{A \otimes C} & \xrightarrow{- \otimes_A A'} & \mathcal{M}^{A' \otimes C'} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathcal{M}(\psi)_A^C & \xrightarrow{- \otimes_A A'} & \mathcal{M}(\psi')_{A'}^{C'}, \end{array}$$

where $- \otimes_A A' : \mathcal{M}^{A \otimes C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{A' \otimes C'}$ is the induction functor defined in [14, Proposition 5.3].

We obtain the following result concerning the category of entwined modules.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(\alpha, \gamma) : (A, C, \psi) \rightarrow (A', C', \psi')$ be a morphism in $\mathbb{E}_{\bullet}(k)$, such that ${}_k C$ and ${}_k D$ are flat. If either $(A \otimes C)_A$ and $(A' \otimes C')_{A'}$ are flat (e.g., if ψ and ψ' are isomorphisms), (resp. $A \otimes C$ and $A' \otimes C'$ are coseparable (see [10, Theorem 38(1)])), then the following statements are equivalent*

- (a) *The functor $- \otimes_A A' : \mathcal{M}(\psi)_A^C \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\psi')_{A'}^{C'}$ defined in [10, Lemma 8] is an equivalence;*
- (b) *the functor $- \otimes_A A'$ is exact and faithful (resp. faithful), and there exists an isomorphism of A' -corings $A' \otimes C' \simeq A'(A \otimes C)A'$.*

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Now, let G and G' be two groups, A be a G -graded k -algebra, A' be a G' -graded k -algebra, X be a right G -set, and X' be a right G' -set. Let $\psi : kX \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes kX$ be the map defined by $[x \otimes a_g \mapsto a_g \otimes xg]$. Analogously we define the map $\psi' : kX' \otimes A' \rightarrow A' \otimes kX'$. Let kG , kG' be the canonical Hopf algebras, and kX , kX' be the canonical grouplike

coalgebras (see [10]). From [10], we have $(kG, A, kX) \in \mathbb{DK}_\bullet(k)$, $(A, kX, \psi) \in \mathbb{E}_\bullet(k)$, and $\mathcal{M}(kG)_A^{kX} \simeq gr-(A, X, G)$. The comultiplication and the counit maps of the coring $A \otimes kX$ are defined by:

$$\Delta_{A \otimes kX}(a \otimes x) = (a \otimes x) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes x), \quad \epsilon_{A \otimes kX}(a \otimes x) = a \quad (a \in A, x \in X).$$

We recall that the corings $A \otimes kX$ and $A' \otimes kX'$ are coseparable. A proof is obtained by using [5, Corollary 3.6] and [10, Proposition 101]. The proofs of the following results are based on the results given in Section 6 of [30]. We refer to [24] for the definitions of the terminology used in the following results.

Let $\widehat{A} = A \otimes kX$ be the $X \times X$ -graded $A - A$ -bimodule associated to the $(A \otimes kX) - (A \otimes kX)$ -bicomodule $A \otimes kX$. It is clear that $\widehat{A}$ is isomorphic as a bigraded bimodule to Del Río's " $\widehat{A}$ " (see [24]). The gradings are $\widehat{A}_x = A \otimes kx$, and ${}_x\widehat{A} = \{\sum_i a_i \otimes x_i \mid x_i g^{-1} = x, \forall i, \forall g \in G : (a_i)_g \neq 0\} \ (x \in X)$.

Corollary 5.2. ([24, Proposition 2.1]) *The following statements are equivalent*

- (1) *the categories $gr-(A, X, G)$ and $gr-(A', X', G')$ are equivalent;*
- (2) *there are an $X \times X'$ -graded $A - A'$ -bimodule P and an $X' \times X$ -graded $A' - A$ -bimodule Q such that*

$$P \widehat{\otimes}_{A'} Q \simeq \widehat{A} \quad \text{and} \quad Q \widehat{\otimes}_A P \simeq \widehat{A'}.$$

Moreover, if P and Q satisfy the condition (2), then $-\widehat{\otimes}_A P$ and $-\widehat{\otimes}_{A'} Q$ are inverse equivalences.

Proof. Clear from Proposition 3.1 (using the fact that the corings $A \otimes kX$ and $A' \otimes kX'$ are coseparable) and [30, Corollary 6.5]. $\square$

The following result is similar to [24, Corollary 2.4].

Corollary 5.3. *Let A be a G -graded k -algebra, X be a right G -set, and B be a k -algebra. The following statements are equivalent*

- (1) *the category $gr-(A, X, G)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}_B$;*
- (2) *the category $(G, X, A) - gr$ is equivalent to ${}_B\mathcal{M}$;*
- (3) *there exists an $X_0 \times X$ -graded $B - A$ -bimodule P , such that X_0 is a singleton, P is finitely generated projective in $\mathcal{M}_A$, and generator in $gr-(A, X, G)$, and the morphism of k -algebras $\phi : B \rightarrow \text{End}_{gr-(A, X, G)}(P)$ defined by $\phi(b)(p) = bp$ for $b \in B, p \in P$, is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorem 3.10. $\square$

In [24, Theorem 2.3], A. Del Río gave a characterization when $(-\widehat{\otimes}_A P, H(P_{A'}, -))$ is a pair of inverse equivalences. We think that the following result gives a simple characterization of it. Our result is also a generalization of Morita's characterization of equivalence Remark 3.9.

Theorem 5.4. *Let P be an $X \times X'$ -graded $A - A'$ -bimodule. Then the following are equivalent*

- (1) $(-\widehat{\otimes}_A P, H(P_{A'}, -))$ is a pair of inverse equivalences;
- (2) (a) ${}_x P$ is finitely generated projective in $\mathcal{M}_{A'}$ for every $x \in X$, and $P_{x'}$ is finitely generated projective in ${}_A \mathcal{M}$ for every $x' \in X'$ (resp. and P is a generator in both $gr - (A', X', G')$ and $(G, X, A) - gr$),
 (b) the following bigraded bimodules maps: $\psi : \widehat{A} \rightarrow H(P_{A'}, P)$ defined by $\psi(a \otimes x)(p) = a({}_x p) \in P$ ($a \in A, x \in X, p \in P$), and $\psi' : \widehat{A}' \rightarrow H({}_A P, P)$ defined by $\psi'(a' \otimes x')(p) = (p_{x'})a' \in P$ ($a' \in A', x' \in X', p \in P$), are isomorphisms (resp. are surjective maps).
- (3) (a) ${}_x P$ is finitely generated projective in $\mathcal{M}_{A'}$ for every $x \in X$,
 (b) the evaluation map

$$\varepsilon_{\widehat{A}'} : H(P_{A'}, \widehat{A}') \widehat{\otimes}_A P \rightarrow \widehat{A}', \quad \varepsilon_{\widehat{A}'}(f \otimes_A P) = f(p)$$

($f \in H(P_{A'}, \widehat{A}')_{x, p \in {}_x P, x \in X}$) is an isomorphism,

(c) the functor $-\widehat{\otimes}_A P$ is faithful.

Proof. From [18, Proposition 1.2], the unit and the counit of the adjunction $(-\widehat{\otimes}_A P, H(P_{A'}, -))$ are given respectively by $\eta_M : M \rightarrow H(P_{A'}, M \widehat{\otimes}_A P)$, $\eta_M(m)(p) = \sum_{x \in X} m_x \otimes_A {}_x p$ ($m = \sum_{x \in X} m_x \in M, p = \sum_{x \in X} {}_x p \in P$), and $\varepsilon_N : H(P_{A'}, N) \widehat{\otimes}_A P \rightarrow N$, $\varepsilon_N(f \otimes_A P) = f(p)$ ($f \in H(P_{A'}, N)_{x, p \in {}_x P, x \in X}$). By [30, Lemma 6.6(1)], the functor $H(P_{A'}, -)$ preserves inductive limits if and only if the condition (3)(a) holds. Then by [30, Theorem 2.3] (the coring $A' \otimes kX'$ is coseparable), there is a natural isomorphism $\delta : H(P_{A'}, -) \xrightarrow{\sim} -\widehat{\otimes}_{A'} H(P_{A'}, \widehat{A}')$. Set $Q = H(P_{A'}, \widehat{A}')$.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) It follows from Proposition 3.6(II) and Proposition 2.4(2).

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) We can suppose that the condition (2)(a) holds. We have $\eta_{\widehat{A}} : M \rightarrow H(P_{A'}, \widehat{A} \widehat{\otimes}_A P)$, $\eta_{\widehat{A}}(a \otimes x)(p) = (a \otimes x) \otimes_A {}_x p = a(1_A \otimes x) \otimes_A {}_x p = a \lambda_P({}_x p) = \lambda_P(a({}_x p)) = \lambda_P(\psi(a \otimes x)(p))$, ($a \in A, x \in X, p \in P$). Since δ is a natural isomorphism, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widehat{A} & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\widehat{A}}} & H(P_{A'}, \widehat{A} \widehat{\otimes}_A P) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\widehat{A} \widehat{\otimes}_A P}} & \widehat{A} \widehat{\otimes}_A P \widehat{\otimes}_{A'} Q \xrightarrow{\sim} P \widehat{\otimes}_{A'} Q \\ & \searrow \psi & \uparrow \simeq \scriptstyle H(P_{A'}, \lambda_P) & \nearrow \delta_P & \\ & & H(P_{A'}, P) & & \end{array}$$

Therefore the unit of the adjunction $(-\widehat{\otimes}_A P, -\widehat{\otimes}_{A'} Q)$ is

$$1_{gr-(A, X, G)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} -\widehat{\otimes}_A \widehat{A} \xrightarrow{-\widehat{\otimes}_A \psi_0} -\widehat{\otimes}_A P \widehat{\otimes}_{A'} Q,$$

where ψ_0 is the map $\psi_0 : \widehat{A} \xrightarrow{\psi} H(P_{A'}, P) \xrightarrow{\delta_P} P \widehat{\otimes}_{A'} Q$. Hence $-\widehat{\otimes}_A P$ is fully faithful if and only if ψ is an isomorphism. By [30, Proposition 2.7], $(Q \widehat{\otimes}_A -, P \widehat{\otimes}_{A'} -)$ is an adjoint pair. Moreover $-\widehat{\otimes}_{A'} Q$ is fully faithful if and only if $P \widehat{\otimes}_{A'} -$ is fully faithful, if and only if ψ' is an isomorphism (see [17, Theorem IV.3.1]).

Finally, if the maps ψ and ψ' defined in (2)(b) are surjective, then, P is a generator in $gr - (A', X', G')$ if and only if $-\widehat{\otimes}_{A'} Q$ is faithful, if and only if $P \widehat{\otimes}_{A'} -$ is faithful, if and only if ψ' is an injective map (see [17, Theorem IV.3.1]). By symmetry, P is a generator in $(G, X, A) - gr$ if and only if ψ is an injective map. $\square$

Finally, let $f : G \rightarrow G'$ be a morphism of groups, X be a right G -set, X' be a right G' -set, $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$ be a map such that $\varphi(xg) = \varphi(x)f(g)$ for every $g \in G$, $x \in X$. Let A be a G -graded k -algebra, A' be a G' -graded k -algebra, and $\alpha : A \rightarrow A'$ be a morphism of algebras such that $\alpha(A_g) \subset A'_{f(g)}$ for every $g \in G$.

We have, $\gamma : kX \rightarrow kX'$ such that $\gamma(x) = \varphi(x)$ for each $x \in X$, is a morphism of coalgebras, and $(\alpha, \gamma) : (A, kX, \psi) \rightarrow (A', kX', \psi')$ is a morphism in $\mathbb{E}_\bullet(k)$.

Let $T^* = - \otimes_A A' : gr - (A, X, G) \rightarrow gr - (A', X', G')$ be the functor defined in [19, p. 531]. T^* makes commutative the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} gr - (A, X, G) & \xrightarrow{T^*} & gr - (A', X', G') \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathcal{M}(kG)_A^{kX} & \xrightarrow{- \otimes_A A'} & \mathcal{M}(kG')_{A'}^{kX'} \end{array}$$

(see Section 6 of [30]). Moreover, we have the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G, X, A) - gr & \xrightarrow{(T^*)' = A' \otimes_A -} & (G', X', A') - gr \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ {}^{kX}_A \mathcal{M}(\psi^{-1}) & \xrightarrow{A' \otimes_A -} & {}^{kX'}_{A'} \mathcal{M}((\psi')^{-1}) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ {}^{kX \otimes A} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{A' \otimes_A -} & {}^{kX' \otimes A'} \mathcal{M} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ A \otimes kX \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{A' \otimes_A -} & A' \otimes kX' \mathcal{M}. \end{array}$$

A. Del Río gave in [24, Example 2.6] an interesting characterization when T^* is an equivalence. Our result gives an other characterization of it.

Theorem 5.5. *The following statements are equivalent*

(1) *the functor $T^* : gr - (A, X, G) \rightarrow gr - (A', X', G')$ is an equivalence;*

(2) T^* is faithful, and the map

$$\omega : A' \otimes_A \widehat{A} \otimes_A A' \rightarrow \widehat{A'}, \quad a' \otimes_A (a \otimes x) \otimes_A a'' \mapsto a' \alpha(a) a'' \otimes \varphi(x) g'$$

$(a \in A, a' \in A', x \in X, g' \in G', a'' \in A'_{g'}),$ is bijective.

Proof. Let $(\varphi, \rho) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a homomorphism of corings. From the proof of [8, 24.11], the counit of the adjunction $(- \otimes_A B, -\square_{\mathfrak{D}}(B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}))$ is given by

$$\psi_N : (N \square_{\mathfrak{D}}(B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C})) \otimes_A B \rightarrow N, \quad \sum_i n_i \otimes_A c_i \otimes_A b \mapsto \sum_i n_i \rho(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}(c_i)) b$$

$(N \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{D}}).$ This yields the map:

$$\omega : B \otimes_A \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}, \quad b' \otimes_A c \otimes_A b \mapsto \sum b' \varphi(c_{(1)}) \rho(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}(c_{(2)})) b, \quad (c \in \mathfrak{C}, b, b' \in B).$$

In our case, the last map is exactly that mentioned in the condition (2). Finally, our result follows from Theorem 3.10. $\square$

Finally we give the following consequence of Proposition 4.3:

Proposition 5.6. *The following are equivalent*

- (1) *the functor $T^* : gr - (A, X, G) \rightarrow gr - (A', X', G')$ is an equivalence;*
- (2) *the functor $(T^*)' : (G, X, A) - gr \rightarrow (G', X', A') - gr$ is an equivalence.*

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor, Professor José Gómez-Torrecillas, for his time and advice.

References

- [1] K. Al-Takhman, *Equivalences of comodules categories for coalgebras over rings*, J. Pure App. Algebra **173** (2002), 245–271.
- [2] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Graduate texts in Math. 13, Springer-Verlag, Second edition, 1992.
- [3] H. Bass, *Algebraic K-Theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1968.
- [4] T. Brzeziński, *On modules associated to coalgebra Galois extensions*, J. Algebra, **215** (1999), 290–317.
- [5] T. Brzeziński, *The structure of corings: Inductions functors, Maschke type theorem, and Frobenius and Galois-type properties*, Algebras and Repr. Theory, **5** (2002), 389–410.

- [6] T. Brzeziński and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *On comatrix corings and bimodules*, K-Theory, **29** (2003) 101–115.
- [7] T. Brzeziński and S. Majid, *Coalgebra bundles*, Comm. Math. Phys. **191** (1998) 467–492.
- [8] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, *Corings and comodules*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [9] S. Caenepeel, E. De Groot, J. Vercruysse, *Galois theory for comatrix corings: descent theory, Morita theory, Frobenius and separability properties*, Preprint, arXiv:math.RA/0406436 (2004). To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc..
- [10] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru, and S. Zhu, *Frobenius and separable functors for generalized module categories and nonlinear equations*, Lec. Not. Math. 1787, Springer Verlag, Berlin (2002).
- [11] R. R. Colby and K. R. Fuller, *Exactness of the double dual and Morita duality for Grothendieck categories*. J. Algebra, **82** (1983), 546–558.
- [12] L.El Kaoutit and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Comatrix corings: Galois corings, descent theory, and a structure theorem for cosemisimple corings*, Math. Z. **244** (2003), 887–906.
- [13] L. EL Kaoutit, J. Gómez-Torrecillas, and F. J. Lobillo, *Semisimple corings*, Algebra Colloquium **11** (2004), 427–442.
- [14] J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Separable functors in corings*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci. **30** (2002), 203–225.
- [15] F. Guzman, *Cointegrations, relative cohomology for comodules, and coseparable corings*, J. Algebra **126** (1989), 211–224.
- [16] L. Kadison, *Separability and the twisted Frobenius bimodule*, Alg. Rep. Theory, **2** (1999), 397–414.
- [17] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate texts in Math. 5, Springer-Verlag, Second edition, 1998.
- [18] C. Menini, *Functors between categories of graded modules. Applications*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. **45** (1993), 297–315.
- [19] C. Menini and C. Năstăsescu, *When are induction and coinduction functors are isomorphic?*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. **1** (1994), 521–558.
- [20] B. Mitchell, *Theory of categories*, Academic Press, New York, 1965.

- [21] K. Morita, *Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition*, Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku A **6** (1958) 83–142.
- [22] C. Năstăsescu, S. Raianu, and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded modules over G -Sets*, Math. Zeitschrift. **203** (1990), 605–627.
- [23] N. Popescu, *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules*, Academic Press, London, 1973.
- [24] A. Del Río, *Categorical methods in graded ring theory*, Publ. Math. **36** (1992), 489–531.
- [25] N. Saavedra Rivano, *Catégories tannakiennes*, Lect. Notes in Math. **265**, Springer, 1972.
- [26] B. Stenström, *Rings of quotients*, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [27] K. Sugano, *Note on separability of endomorphism rings*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. **21** (1971), 196–208.
- [28] M. Sweedler, *The predual theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **213** (1975), 391–406.
- [29] M. Takeuchi, *Morita theorems for categories of comodules*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **24** (1977), 629–644.
- [30] M. Zarouali-Darkaoui, *Adjoint and Frobenius pairs of functors for corings*, to appear in Comm. Algebra, available at arXiv:math.RA/0505478 (2005).